



State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor
Kathleen Clarke
Executive Director
Lowell P. Braxton
Division Director

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
PO Box 145801
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801
801-538-5340
801-359-3940 (Fax)
801-538-7223 (TDD)

Internal 007/019
cc: pete

August 23, 2000

TO: Internal File

THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor *DRH*

FROM: James D. Smith, Senior Reclamation Specialist *JPS*

RE: 2000 Second Quarter Water Monitoring, Andalex Resources, Inc., Centennial Project, ACT/007/019-WQ00-2

1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites? YES [] NO [X]
Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

No report received for the second quarter 2000.

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.
See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling Due Date

During the year preceding each repermitting action: one sample for baseline analysis at high and one at low flow for surface-monitoring sites, and one at low flow for ground-water monitoring sites. Next renewal submittal due 09/04/01, for renewal on 04/04/02.

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site? YES [] NO [X]
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No report received for the second quarter 2000.

4. Were irregularities found in the data? YES [X] NO []
Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No report received for the second quarter 2000.

5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?

1st month, YES [] NO [X]

2nd month, YES [] NO [X]

3rd month, YES [] NO [X]

Identify sites and months not monitored:

No DMR reports received for the second quarter 2000.

6. Were all required DMR parameters reported?

YES [] NO [X]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No DMR reports received for the second quarter 2000.

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data?

YES [X] NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

No DMR reports received for the second quarter 2000.

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

No DMR reports received for the second quarter 2000.

sm

O:\007019.CEN\Water Quality\WQ_00-2.wpd